

## Quality of life benefits of transcatheter aortic valve replacement differ by access site

## November 10 2011

Results of the PARTNER Cohort A QOL study demonstrate that transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) results in improved quality of life compared with surgical valve replacement, but only when performed via the transfemoral approach. The results of the study were presented today at the 23rd annual Transcatheter Cardiovascular Therapeutics (TCT) scientific symposium, sponsored by the Cardiovascular Research Foundation.

Over the past decade, TAVR has been developed as a less invasive alternative to surgical valve replacement (AVR) for high-risk patients with <u>severe aortic stenosis</u>. In the landmark PARTNER trial, reported earlier this year at the ACC Scientific Sessions, TAVR was found to be non-inferior to surgical AVR for the primary endpoint of one-year mortality among high <u>risk patients</u> with severe aortic stenosis. However, the overall impact of this <u>alternative treatment</u> on the patients' healthrelated quality of life has not been previously reported.

Researchers measured quality of life using the Kansas City <u>Cardiomyopathy</u> Questionnaire (KCCQ, the primary endpoint), the SF-12 Health Status Survey, and the EuroQOL (EQ-5D). Patients were assessed on a broad range of factors, such as their symptoms, physical and social limitations and overall quality of life upon enrollment in the trial and at follow-up intervals of one month, six months and 12 months. A total of 699 patients were randomized to either TAVR (N=348) or SAVR (N=351).



The study population was then broken down between the patients who were eligible for transfermoral (TF) valve implantation (n=492) and a separate group of patients for whom only a transapical (TA) approach through a small <u>incision</u> in the chest was possible (n=207).

At one year follow-up, patients who underwent either surgical or transcatheter aortic valve replacement experienced substantial improvement in disease-specific and generic health quality of life measures. For TF patients, TAVR resulted in substantial quality of life benefits compared with surgical AVR at one month with similar benefits at later time points. For patients eligible only for the TA approach, there was no benefit of TAVR over AVR at any time point, and quality of life measures tended to be better with surgical AVR at both one and six months.

"Taken together with previous data, these findings demonstrate that for patients suitable for a transfemoral approach, transcatheter aortic valve replacement provides meaningful clinical benefits compared with surgical aortic valve replacement from the patient's perspective," said David J. Cohen, MD, the principal investigator for the <u>quality of life</u> substudy. Dr. Cohen is Professor of Medicine at the University of Missouri-Kansas City and Director of Cardiovascular Research at Saint Luke's Mid America Heart Institute.

"The lack of benefit and suggestion of worse outcomes among patients ineligible for the transfermoral approach suggest that the transapical approach may not be preferable to surgical <u>aortic valve replacement</u> in such patients. Whether further experience and refinements in the transapical approach can overcome these limitations should be the subject of future investigation."

Provided by Cardiovascular Research Foundation



Citation: Quality of life benefits of transcatheter aortic valve replacement differ by access site (2011, November 10) retrieved 19 November 2023 from <a href="https://medicalxpress.com/news/2011-11-quality-life-benefits-transcatheter-aortic.html">https://medicalxpress.com/news/2011-11-quality-life-benefits-transcatheter-aortic.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.