

Ethical recommendations for triage of COVID-19 patients

July 16 2020



Mathias Wirth, Assistan Professor (tenure track) of Systematic Theology and Ethics at the Faculty of Theology, University of Bern. Credit: University of Bern

An international expert group led by Mathias Wirth, professor of systematic theology and ethics at the University of Bern, has developed recommendations for avoiding triage of COVID-19 patients in extreme



situations. The recommendations should support medical personnel in difficult decisions during a second wave of the infection and ensure better patient care.

"A lack of intensive care ventilation units owing to rapidly increasing infection rates numbers among the most significant nightmare scenarios of the corona pandemic," says Mathias Wirth, head of the Ethics Department in the Faculty of Theology at the University of Bern, because: "Shortages of supply can result in triage of patients suffering from severe cases of COVID-19 and thus force a life or death decision." Here, triage means favoring some COVID-19 patients over others depending on urgency and prognosis. Together with experts from Yale University, King's College London, Charité Berlin and Essen University Hospital, medical ethicist Mathias Wirth has prepared a statement on these difficult decisions. The statement was published in the *American Journal of Bioethics (AJOB)*, the most frequently cited scientific journal in the entire field of ethics.

Triage is only ethically justifiable under very specific circumstances

The experts warn against the possibility of prematurely implementing triage; even though triage allows for decisions based on fairness in extreme situations, it leads to significant strain on the affected parties, relatives and medical personnel. In order to avoid it, every effort must be made to transfer seriously ill patients to other hospitals without shortages of supply—across country borders in case of emergency, according to the authors.

In concrete terms, Mathias Wirth's team of researchers recommend increased regional, national and even international collaboration in intensive care for COVID-19 patients in preparation for future waves of



infection. "Just because triage is correct under some circumstances does not mean that it is correct under all circumstances," says Wirth. "There is no real and legitimate triage situation as long as treatment spaces are available elsewhere."

Negative decision requires special care

Secondly, a negative triage decision for individual people should not under any circumstances mean that their medical and psychological care is neglected. Quite the opposite: If they are deprived of a ventilator, maximum effort is required for their care and treatment, both for them and for their relatives.

The statement from Wirth et al. presents all stakeholders who advocate for more collaboration in the future situation with strong arguments. Because the judgments associated with triage give too little consideration to moral problems, according to medical ethicists. "The suffering that triage decisions involved for patients, relatives and medical personnel in the epicenters of the first wave attests to this," says Wirth. Thanks to the recommendations, triage planning can be classified more clearly as a last resort, meaning that alternatives must be afforded greater attention.

More information: Mathias Wirth et al. The Meaning of Care and Ethics to Mitigate the Harshness of Triage in Second-Wave Scenario Planning During the COVID-19 Pandemic, *The American Journal of Bioethics* (2020). DOI: 10.1080/15265161.2020.1777355

Provided by University of Bern

Citation: Ethical recommendations for triage of COVID-19 patients (2020, July 16) retrieved 13 February 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-07-ethical-triage-covid-patients.html



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.