

'Maeve's law' would let IVF parents access technology to prevent mitochondrial disease

February 9 2022, by David Thorburn, Megan Munsie



Credit: Louise Hyslop & Mary Herbert, Univ. Newcastle upon Tyne

The Senate is this week debating "Maeve's law"—a proposal to legalize



access to new assisted reproductive techniques that will reduce the risk of parents passing on mitochondrial disease to their children.

The legislation, formally called the <u>Mitochondrial Donation Law Reform</u> (<u>Maeve's Law</u>) <u>Bill 2021</u>, is named after Maeve Hood, a six-year-old Victorian girl who lives with Leigh syndrome—a disorder in which the body's cells fail to produce enough energy. Tragically, Maeve is unlikely to survive beyond childhood.

This week's expected vote will be the first conscience vote in the Senate since the historic reforms to allow marriage equality in 2017, and is already being passionately debated.

But the issues raised are unlikely to be new. These reforms have already undergone <u>extensive community consultation</u> and been <u>approved by the House of Representatives</u>.

What is mitochondrial donation?

Mitochondria are energy-producing structures inside cells, which have their own DNA and are separate from the cell nucleus containing the bulk of the cell's DNA (called "nuclear DNA"). Mitochondrial DNA is inherited entirely from the mother's egg, so if a mother has mutations in her mitochondrial DNA she is at risk of passing life-threatening conditions to her baby.

Conceiving a baby via mitochondrial donation involves implanting the mother's nuclear DNA into a healthy egg from which the nuclear genes have been removed, and using this egg for in-vitro fertilization (IVF) with a sperm. Alternatively, a procedure called pronuclear transfer can be used early in the fertilization process a few hours after the sperm has entered the egg, but before the parental genomes come together and the fertilized egg officially becomes an embryo.



A child born via mitochondrial donation would inherit a mixture of their mother's and father's nuclear DNA as usually occurs, along with the healthy mitochondrial DNA from the egg donor.

As a result, mitochondrial donation has sometimes been described as creating "three-parent babies." But "2.002-parent babies" would arguably be more accurate, given there are only 37 mitochondrial genes, compared with at least 20,000 in our nuclear DNA.



Credit: Mito Foundation



Australian law currently bans the creation of a human embryo that involves genetic material from more than two people. The ban was introduced almost 20 years ago amid fears IVF and embryo research would lead to "designer babies" and cloning. Maeve's law would change this situation specifically to allow mitochondrial donation to prevent mitochondrial disease.

Debate around the issue has focused on a range of questions, such as: is there a risk the child could still end up with mutant mitochondrial DNA? Are there ethical issues centered on the unborn baby's inability to give consent? What are the egg donor's rights? Does the procedure carry other health or genetic risks?

The expert view

In the United Kingdom, where mitochondrial donation research was pioneered, four scientific reviews by the Human Fertilization and Embryology Authority and an investigation by the Nuffield Council on Bioethics were conducted between 2011 and 2016. These reviews delivered an overall conclusion that the benefits outweigh the harms if regulated appropriately, and Britain legalized mitochondrial donation in 2015.

In Australia, mitochondrial donation has been considered by a <u>series of inquiries</u>, including a 2018 Senate inquiry and a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) review, which considered these issues with fresh eyes.

In response, the government drafted Maeve's law, which underwent a series of reviews and public consultations, and gained the support of $\underline{60}$ leading Australian experts.

Does the public support it?



One challenge in gauging public support is to measure true community sentiment, rather than inviting submissions that merely serve as a forum for people with existing strongly held views either for or against mitochondrial donation.

To address this challenge, researchers convened a <u>citizens' jury</u> in 2017, and the NHMRC held a <u>citizens' panel</u> in 2019 to evaluate attitudes to mitochondrial donation. Both offered qualified support for allowing the technology.



A zygote about to have its nuclear DNA removed and transferred to a donor egg, roughly 20 hours before division to form a two-cell embryo. Arrows show the



mother's and father's DNA, called 'pronuclei'. These remain separate until later in the process, hence why the zygote is not considered an embryo. Credit: Louise Hyslop & Mary Herbert, Univ. Newcastle upon Tyne

What topics are likely to be contentious in the Senate debate?

The Senate will likely revisit amendments that were defeated in the House of Representatives in December. These include a proposal only to allow the technique in which the mother's DNA is implanted into the donor egg *before* fertilization with the father's sperm.

This suggestion is a response to fears that pronuclear transfer would lead to increased rates of embryo destruction.

But these early fertilized eggs—also called zygotes—do not meet the legal or biological definition of an embryo, and most embryologists do not regard this technique as leading to more loss of embryos than other assisted reproductive technologies. What's more, banning this approach could greatly compromise the development of mitochondrial donation in Australia.

Maeve's law will still require researchers to account to NHMRC for <u>eggs</u> and embryos used in their research, to seek ways to minimize the numbers used, and to report to Parliament on an annual basis.

If not now, when?

While we need to respect differing attitudes to IVF and <u>embryo research</u>, we believe most experts and members of the public recognize the importance of giving couples who are at risk of mitochondrial disease the best chance of having a healthy child.



Maeve's law has been carefully written to ensure a cautious introduction and evaluation of mitochondrial donation technology. The technology will be in a clinical trial setting for at least ten years, during which time the health of babies born using these techniques will be carefully monitored.

The science supports it. The community support it. People who are affected by <u>mitochondrial disease</u> have long supported it. We call on Senators to support it.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: 'Maeve's law' would let IVF parents access technology to prevent mitochondrial disease (2022, February 9) retrieved 12 May 2023 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-02-maeve-law-ivf-parents-access.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.