

Should you believe your eyes? Not necessarily in virtual reality, says new study

December 13 2022, by Jeff Renaud



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

A new study by Western neuroscientists suggests that, unlike true reality, perception in virtual reality is more strongly influenced by our expectations than the visual information before our eyes.



The researchers point to the challenge of online shopping, where customers sometimes mis-estimate the size of a product based on their expectations, discovering for example that a sweater purchased online is indeed lovely but sized for a doll not an adult.

This happens in part because the physical cues to size that are present when seeing an item in a store are typically eliminated when viewing photos online. Without seeing the <u>physical object</u>, customers base their expectations of familiar size on prior experience. Since most sweaters are sized for people, not dolls, the visual system assumes that an unfamiliar sweater is, too.

The advent of virtual reality offers new opportunities for applications like <u>online shopping</u> and also for research on <u>visual perception</u>. But researchers wanted to understand if users of virtual reality perceive size as accurately as they do in the real world.

A research team, led by Canada Research Chair in Immersive Neuroscience Jody Culham, presented study participants with a variety of familiar objects like dice and sports balls in virtual reality and asked them to estimate the object sizes. The trick? Objects were presented not only at their typical 'familiar' sizes, but also at unusual sizes (e.g., diesized Rubik's cubes).

The researchers found that participants consistently perceived the virtual objects at the size they expected, rather than the actual presented size. This effect was much stronger in virtual reality than for real objects.

"While virtual reality is a useful research tool with many real-world applications, we cannot assume it is always an accurate proxy for the real world," said Culham, a psychology professor and senior author on the study. "It is promising to see advances in virtual reality and its applications, but there is still a lot we don't understand about how we



process information in virtual environments. If we need to rely heavily on past experiences to judge the size of objects in virtual reality, this suggests other <u>visual cues</u> to size may be less reliable than in the <u>real</u> <u>world</u>."

Yet, the results of this study also have some promising implications.

"If we know that familiar objects can serve as strong size cues in virtual reality, we can use this information to our advantage," said Anna Rzepka, a former student in the Culham Lab and co-first author on the study. "Think about viewing an item in a scene where accurate size perception is crucial, such as when removing a tumor using imageguided surgery. Adding other familiar objects to the virtual scene could improve perception of the tumor's size and location, leading to better outcomes."

The findings were published in *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*. The paper is part of a special issue based on a Royal Society workshop on 'New Approaches to 3D Vision' that included discussions about the promises and limitations of <u>virtual reality</u>.

More information: Anna M. Rzepka et al, Familiar size affects perception differently in virtual reality and the real world, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* (2022). DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2021.0464

Provided by University of Western Ontario

Citation: Should you believe your eyes? Not necessarily in virtual reality, says new study (2022, December 13) retrieved 1 February 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-12-eyes-necessarily-virtual-reality.html</u>



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.