

A proposed new metric to quantify genetic dissimilarities between an individual and a training population

May 18 2023, by Bob Yirka



Illustration of population-level versus individual-level PGS accuracy. a, Discrete labeling of GIA with PCA-based clustering. Each dot represents an individual. The circles represent arbitrary boundaries imposed on the genetic ancestry continuum to divide individuals into different GIA clusters. The color represents the GIA cluster label. The gray dots are individuals who are left unclassified. b, Schematic illustrating the variation of population-level PGS accuracy across clusters. The box plot represents the PGS accuracy (for example, R2) measured at the population level. The question mark emphasizes that the PGS accuracy for



unclassified individuals is unknown owing to the lack of a reference group. Gray dashed lines emphasize the categorical nature of GIA clustering. c, Continuous labeling of everyone's unique position on the genetic ancestry continuum with a PCA-based GD. The GD is defined as the Euclidean distance of an individual's genotype from the center of the training data when projected on the PC space of training genotype data. Everyone has their own unique GD, d_i, and individual PGS accuracy, r_{i}^{2} . d, Individual-level PGS accuracy decays along the genetic ancestry continuum. Each dot represents an individual and its color represents the assigned GIA label. Individuals labeled with the same ancestry spread out on the genetic ancestry continuum, and there are no clear boundaries between GIA clusters. This figure is illustrative and does not involve any real or simulated data. Credit: *Nature* (2023). DOI: 10.1038/s41586-023-06079-4

A team of bioinformatics researchers affiliated with multiple institutions in the U.S. and Aarhus University in Denmark is proposing a new metric to quantify genetic dissimilarities between an individual and a training population. Their study is reported in the journal *Nature*. The editors at *Nature* have also published a Research Briefing in the same journal issue outlining the work done by the team on this effort.

Polygenic scores (PGSs) are tools to estimate the probability that a certain trait or disease is based on a <u>genetic background</u>. PGSs are generally calculated by adding up the effects of many common genetic variants associated with traits of interest. But the accuracy of derived scores is dependent on the degree to which the genetic variants used to construct them actually capture the <u>genetic diversity</u> of the <u>population</u> from which they are taken.

This usually means that if a given population used to train the PGSs is genetically different from the population to which the test is applied, the PGSs may not perform well. To make such scores more useful, the researchers are proposing a new metric called genetic distance (GD)—its



purpose is to quantify genetic dissimilarities between individuals and training populations based on genome-wide allele frequencies.

The new metric would range from 0 (representing identical traits) to 1 (representing traits that are completely different)—and it would also take into account both ancient and recent evolutionary events that have impacted a given human population. To support the use of the new metric, the research team showed that GD can be inversely correlated with PGS for some diseases and traits across populations, even those usually considered to be homogeneous. The team also demonstrated that GD could be used to identify people who could possibly benefit from PGSs that have been trained on specific populations, or conversely, those that are more diverse—or PGSs that rely on different sets of variants.

The team concludes that their metric could provide a continuous measure for gauging the accuracy of PGSs and notes that it also highlights the importance of taking into account genetic diversity when developing PGSs.

More information: Yi Ding et al, Polygenic scoring accuracy varies across the genetic ancestry continuum, *Nature* (2023). DOI: 10.1038/s41586-023-06079-4

A continuous measure for understanding the accuracy of genetically based predictions, *Nature* (2023). DOI: 10.1038/d41586-023-01492-1

© 2023 Science X Network

Citation: A proposed new metric to quantify genetic dissimilarities between an individual and a training population (2023, May 18) retrieved 14 February 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2023-05-metric-quantify-genetic-dissimilarities-individual.html



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.