

Blood test for Alzheimer's proves highly accurate in large, international study

22 February 2022, by Gerry Everding



Neurologist Randall J. Bateman, MD, the Charles F. and Joanne Knight Distinguished Professor of Neurology, inspects a mass spectrometry machine at Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis. Using mass spectrometry, Bateman and colleagues have developed a blood test that is up to 93% accurate at identifying people at risk of Alzheimer's dementia. Credit: Matt Miller/Washington University

A blood test developed at Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis has proven highly accurate in detecting early signs of Alzheimer's disease in a study involving nearly 500 patients from across three continents, providing further evidence that the test should be considered for routine screening and diagnosis.

The study is available in the journal *Neurology*.

"Our study shows that the [blood test](#) provides a robust measure for detecting amyloid plaques associated with Alzheimer's disease, even among patients not yet experiencing cognitive declines," said senior author Randall J. Bateman, MD, the Charles F. and Joanne Knight Distinguished Professor of Neurology.

"A blood [test](#) for Alzheimer's provides a huge boost for Alzheimer's research and diagnosis, drastically cutting the time and cost of identifying patients for [clinical trials](#) and spurring the development of new treatment options," Bateman said. "As new drugs become available, a blood test could determine who might benefit from treatment, including those at very early stages of the disease."

Developed by Bateman and colleagues, the blood test assesses whether [amyloid plaques](#) have begun accumulating in the brain based on the ratio of the levels of the amyloid beta proteins A β 42 and A β 40 in the blood.

Researchers have long pursued a low-cost, easily accessible blood test for Alzheimer's as an alternative to the expensive brain scans and invasive spinal taps now used to assess the presence and progression of the disease within the brain.

Evaluating the disease using PET brain scans—still the gold standard—requires a radioactive brain scan, at an average cost of \$5,000 to \$8,000 per scan. Another common test, which analyzes levels of amyloid-beta and tau protein in cerebrospinal fluid, costs about \$1,000 but requires a spinal tap process that some patients may be unwilling to endure.

This study estimates that prescreening with a \$500 blood test could reduce by half both the cost and the time it takes to enroll patients in clinical trials that use PET scans. Screening with blood tests alone could be completed in less than six months and cut costs by tenfold or more, the study finds.

A commercial test based on Bateman's research was certified in 2020 under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) program. The CLIA certification program is run by the Food and Drug Administration in partnership with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

Known as Precivity AD, the commercial version of the test is marketed by C2N Diagnostics, a Washington University startup founded by Bateman and his colleague David Holtzman, MD, the Barbara Burton and Reuben M. Morriss III Distinguished Professor of Neurology. Bateman and Holtzman are inventors on a patent the university licensed to C2N.

CLIA certification makes the test available for doctors in the United States. It is intended to provide information that will aid the medical evaluation and care of patients who already have symptoms of cognitive decline. A similar certification makes the test available in Europe. The test is not yet covered by most health insurance.

The current study shows that the blood test remains highly accurate, even when performed in different labs following different protocols, and in different cohorts across three continents.

Scientists didn't know if small differences in sampling methods, such as whether blood is collected after fasting or the type of anti-coagulant used in blood processing, could have a big impact on test accuracy because results are based on subtle shifts in amyloid beta protein levels in the blood. Differences that interfere with the precise measurement of these amyloid protein ratios could have triggered a false negative or positive result.

To confirm the test's accuracy, researchers applied it to blood samples from individuals enrolled in ongoing Alzheimer's studies in the United States, Australia and Sweden, each of which uses different protocols for the processing of blood samples and related brain imaging.

Findings from this study confirmed that the A β 42/A β 40 blood test using a high-precision immunoprecipitation [mass spectrometry](#) technique developed at Washington University provides highly accurate and consistent results for both cognitively impaired and unimpaired individuals across all three studies.

When blood amyloid levels were combined with

another major Alzheimer's risk factor—the presence of the genetic variant APOE4—the accuracy of the [blood](#) test was 88% when compared to brain imaging and 93% when compared to spinal tap.

"These results suggest the test can be useful in identifying nonimpaired patients who may be at risk for future [dementia](#), offering them the opportunity to get enrolled in clinical trials when early intervention has the potential to do the most good," Bateman said. "A negative test result also could help doctors rule out Alzheimer's in patients whose impairments may be related to some other health issue, disease or medication."

More information: Yan Li et al, Validation of Plasma Amyloid- β 42/40 for Detecting Alzheimer Disease Amyloid Plaques, *Neurology* (2021). [DOI: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000013211](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000000013211)

Provided by Washington University School of Medicine

APA citation: Blood test for Alzheimer's proves highly accurate in large, international study (2022, February 22) retrieved 24 June 2022 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-02-blood-alzheimer-highly-accurate-large.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.